

Loan Monitoring: AI Predictive Insights

White Paper

Date: September 2025

Prepared by: Summit

777 6th St. NW, Suite 520

Washington, DC 20001 | www.summitllc.us



For more information, please contact:

- Katie Janik, Subject Matter Expert (coauthor) <u>katie.janik@summitllc.us</u> | 202-407-8300 (Tel)
- Michael Rodriguez, Subject Matter Expert (coauthor) michael.rodriguez@summitllc.us | 202-407-8300 (Tel)
- Anthony Curcio, Senior Partner anthony.curcio@summitllc.us | 202-407-8303 (Tel)

Table of contents

Introduction	1
Risk Indicators and Key Performance Indicators	1
Manual Versus System-Based Monitoring	2
How AI and Analytics Help	3
Data Quality and Standardization	4
Benefits in Practice	
Final Thoughts	6
List of figures	
Figure 1: Potential red flags—financial, operational, and behavioral indicators	2
Figure 2: What AI can do, if it can't replace decision-makers?	3



Introduction

Modern loan monitoring systems, powered by AI and data analytics, enable federal credit programs to transition from reactive to proactive risk management. Predictive insights and automated tools allow us to flag potential problems early and establish timely intervention. This intervention leads to more efficient oversight, better borrower support, and the protection of taxpayer dollars.

Predictive analytics provide an opportunity to intervene earlier, support borrowers better, and protect taxpayer dollars more effectively—even for large, complex loan portfolios.

Loan monitoring ensures taxpayer dollars are being used as intended. Federal loans are often issued to achieve policy goals—like funding clean energy projects, supporting small businesses, or investing in infrastructure. Once a loan is issued, the risk continues, and that's when oversight begins. Loan monitoring helps us oversee the loan agreement and whether borrowers meet their obligations, ensure compliance with covenants, track decisions, and detect problems early on—before they turn into defaults or affect the program. Different types of data are used to monitor these activities and the overall loan performance. These data include both financial and technical as well as qualitative and quantitative.

Consider a development loan for broadband infrastructure in rural areas. The borrower may comply with the financial covenants but miss a key project milestone, such as installing fiber optics by a certain fiscal quarter. Missing this milestone puts the project completion at risk, which puts repayment of the loan at risk. Loan monitoring and monitoring of certain data metrics allows federal credit programs to catch those issues by tracking technical progress, not just financial status.

Risk Indicators and Key Performance Indicators

The primary method for loan monitoring is tracking key performance indicators (KPIs) and identifying risk types. Risk types align with portfolio characteristics and should be analyzed periodically to determine if the risk profile of the loan or underlying project has changed. Additionally, KPIs indicate whether the loan or underlying project financed by the loan is on track and performing.

It's not just about red flags—it's about noticing the **patterns** that precede red flags.

There are financial, operational, and behavioral indicators. It's not just about red flags—it's about noticing the patterns that precede red flags:

- Financial indicators include liquidity issues, cash burn, declining margins, or missing financial covenants.
- Operational indicators include delayed milestones, decreased operational output, or regulatory violations.
- Behavioral signs include poor communication, late reporting, or other signs indicating a lack of transparency from the borrower.

Figure 1: Potential red flags—financial, operational, and behavioral indicators



The indicators could also be classified by type of data, such as quantitative, qualitative, and action-oriented:

- Quantitative indicators include ratios, financial models, financial data, project performance data.
- Qualitative indicators include management, milestones, regulatory changes, legal changes.
- Action-oriented indicators are whether the borrower is cooperative and adheres to compliance under the loan agreement.

Most programs have a combination of quantitative and qualitative data and structured and unstructured data. Structured data is easier to extract and analyze from reports that the borrower submits. Unstructured data are typically open text fields that need to be converted to structured data in a way that it can be extracted and used.

Some data types are universal across federal credit programs, like financial ratios, cash flow, and repayment history. Other data types depend on the risk profile of the portfolio. For example, a loan program supporting clean tech startups will be sensitive to regulatory risk or changes in market adaptation of the technology. Meanwhile, international development loans may be more vulnerable to geopolitical instability or country risk. The key performance indicators or KPIs must align with the unique risks of each portfolio.

Tracking KPIs and data consistently and accurately is essential. Analysis and risk management is only as good as the data you rely on. This is where loan monitoring software platforms come into play.

Manual Versus System-Based Monitoring

There are many benefits of a loan monitoring system. Let's look at manual versus automated monitoring processes. In a manual process, a borrower sends their quarterly report by email. A portfolio manager downloads it, maybe enters data into Excel, then reads through the report and compares it to the loan terms. If they spot an issue, they might draft a note, upload a summary to a shared folder, and alert management. This practice is slow, inconsistent, and hard to audit. Now imagine that same process automated in a software platform system:

- The borrower uploads a report through a secure portal.
- The system automatically extracts key figures and compares them to covenant thresholds.

- Any anomalies are flagged and compared against previous periods, against other loans in the portfolio, or even against industry benchmarks; a dashboard updates in real time; and the system suggests a draft risk summary.
- All actions are logged and alerts sent if something is wrong.

That is the difference automated software systems can make. Adding AI and structured data to the automation enables a powerful, efficient loan monitoring tool.

How AI and Analytics Help

Incorporating AI and predictive analytics is where modern loan platforms really shine. In federal loan monitoring, AI refers to a set of automated tools and algorithms that can:

- Read and interpret documents, such as PDFs or spreadsheets.
- Extract relevant details, such as financial ratios, repayment dates, or covenant terms.
- Compare those values across time, against benchmarks, or against the loan agreement itself.
- Spot trends, flag anomalies, and suggest next steps for a portfolio manager.

These tools do not replace decision-makers but enhance the ability to spot issues early and act with better information.

Figure 2: What AI can do, if it can't replace decision-makers?

Artificial intelligence can't replace decision-makers, but it can—



Accelerate and standardize the risk assessment process.



Help portfolio managers catch what they might otherwise miss.



Help agencies manage growing loan volumes without increasing headcount at the same pace.



Pair with other analytics tools to provide valuable dashboards.

Imagine this scenario. A borrower uploads their Q3 financials to the monitoring system. The document shows a 5% revenue decline compared to Q2. This decline might not trigger a concern, but AI looks deeper and notices the following patterns:

- This is the third consecutive quarter of revenue decline.
- The revenue has dipped below the threshold specified in the loan's financial covenants.
- This pattern matches early indicators seen in prior problem loans.

Based on these patterns, the system flags the file automatically, creates a draft risk narrative for the portfolio manager, and pushes a real-time alert to a portfolio dashboard. Because the system catches

page 3 Prepared by Summit



the trend and alerts them right away, the portfolio manager does not have to wait for the next quarterly review or dig through files to find the trend.

In a traditional system, that same issue might take days to detect. The portfolio manager would need to complete the following steps:

- Open the report manually.
- Extract figures by hand.
- Compare the figures to last quarter.
- Cross-reference the loan terms.
- Decide whether to raise a red flag.

Al can accelerate and standardize the entire process. Even better, the system can suggest next steps, such as the following:

- Schedule follow-up with borrower.
- Request updated projections.
- Escalate to risk committee if trend continues.

These improvements make it easier for portfolio managers to be proactive, not reactive, which is the gold standard in risk management.

Other examples of where AI can help include the following:

- Automated data validation. Checking borrower-submitted data against required formats, thresholds, or prior submissions to catch errors or inconsistencies before manual review.
- Language analysis. Picking up tone shifts or evasive language in borrower narratives.
- Cross-loan pattern recognition. Identifying if multiple borrowers in a sector are showing similar stress signals.

Paired with analytics tools like Power BI or Tableau, AI can provide visual dashboards that track performance across all borrowers—by region, loan type, or risk rating. Al saves time and improves quality, consistency, and foresight. It helps portfolio managers catch what they might miss otherwise, and helps agencies manage growing loan volumes without increasing headcount at the same pace.

Data Quality and Standardization

The foundation for AI models is data quality and standardization. We cannot build reliable analytics or trustworthy AI model on messy data. Standardization makes data machine-readable so dashboards, validation rules, and AI models can use data instantly. Actionable data relies on the following characteristics:

- **Clean.** The data is accurate, complete, up-to-date, and free of duplicates or obvious errors.
- **Consistent.** Every field follows a standard format and unit of measure.
- Structured. The data sits in well-labeled columns or tagged fields, not buried in free-form paragraphs.

page 4 Prepared by Summit

To ensure borrowers submit consistent information, modern loan platforms should include the following:

- **Smart templates**. Borrowers fill in predefined tables for income, cash-flow ratios, project milestones, and so on.
- **Drop-down menus and controlled vocabularies**. Wherever possible, users pick from a list instead of typing free text. For example, "North Carolina," "NC," and "N.C." do not end up as three different values.
- Mandatory tags and data-validation rules. If a field expects a number, the system will not
 accept text. If a date is in the future but should be in the past, the platform flags it immediately.

There is movement away from open-text boxes for critical data points, because unstructured text is hard to parse and even harder to aggregate across hundreds of borrowers. This trend does not mean eliminating narrative explanations; there is still room for qualitative commentary. However, key metrics, dates, amounts, and status flags must live in structured fields first. Narrative sections provide context on why a number changed, rather than what the number is.

The benefit of standardization is tangible:

- Higher-quality analytics. Your dashboards and models are no longer fighting inconsistent data, which leads to better outcomes.
- Smarter Al. Algorithms can learn faster and make more accurate predictions when the inputs are clean.
- Faster audits. You can trace every figure back to a single, validated source.

In short, better data equals better oversight. Better data starts with rigorous standardization at the point of submission.

Benefits in Practice

The real value of AI, automated software platforms, and structured data for a portfolio manager or credit officer managing day-to-day oversight is time, accuracy, and visibility.



Time. Traditionally, portfolio managers spend a huge portion of their week on repetitive tasks, such as the following:

- Manually pulling data from borrower reports
- Checking spreadsheets against loan terms
- Following up on late submissions
- Uploading files into different folders or systems

An Al-enabled platform automates most of these tasks. For example, an Al-enabled platform can extract financial figures directly from a PDF and populate dashboards. It can detect when a covenant report is missing and send the reminder automatically. It can suggest a draft summary of compliance status that the portfolio manager can review and finalize. This automation saves hours of administrative burden every week. Multiply that across dozens or hundreds of loans in a portfolio, and it adds up fast.



Accuracy. Manual data handling introduces room for error and data integrity risk. Imagine someone copying data from a 10-page borrower report into Excel leading to fatigue, misreading numbers, or dropping a zero resulting in flawed data and reporting. Al reduces these risks through the following:

- Reading documents exactly as they are written
- Applying the same rules every time
- Flagging inconsistencies or gaps automatically

This accuracy gives credit officers more confidence in their data, which is critical to making risk decisions, responding to audits, or briefing leadership.



Visibility. When data is centralized and structured, you can see everything at once instead of piecing it together from 15 different reports. Al includes the following features to improve visibility:

- Dashboards that show which borrowers are on track, and which borrowers are trending toward trouble.
- Customized filters to direct attention to key attributes (risk rating, loan size, sector).
- Real-time reports that update as soon as new information is received.

This visibility is a game-changer for portfolio oversight. Portfolio managers no longer have to dig through folders or wait for quarterly updates. They get real-time, at-a-glance insights to anticipate issues, which means they spend less time on clerical tasks and more time engaging with borrowers or managing higher-risk loans.

At the executive level, it is all about reporting and oversight. Modern systems provide leadership real-time data on portfolio health, risk exposure, and performance trends—not static reports once a quarter. Real-time data helps with portfolio decisions, budgeting, strategic planning, and being ready for audits at any time.

Final Thoughts

Predictive insights allow programs to be proactive instead of reactive. If we wait for a default or an issue with a loan to occur before addressing a problem, it is already too late. Predictive analytics provide an opportunity to intervene earlier, support borrowers better, and protect taxpayer dollars more effectively.